# PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING

# TEACHER EDUCATION

IN

### a pedagogical methodology by which learning is initiated with a posed problem

Students assume a role in the problem scenario and are led through a process in which they:

- a) pose questions, "learning issues," identifying what they need to know in order to address the problem
- b) rank the learning issues in terms of importance and decide who will investigate which issue
- c) identify needed resources and where they might be found
- d) gather needed information through individual and group investigation

### a pedagogical methodology by which learning is initiated with a posed problem

Students assume a role in the problem scenario and are led through a process in which they:

- e) reconvene to integrate information
- f) generate and evaluate possible solutions
- g) make needed decisions or take agreed upon actions
- h) communicate results as appropriate for problem resolution
- step out of role to debrief on problem solving experience





#### Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is characterized by:

<u>meaningful activity</u> – PBL engages students in problems that are designed to be realistic, intriguing, and relevant to the field of study. Meaningful problems thus serve as the context and the stimulus for knowledge-building and critical thinking.

situated learning – PBL creates an environment that permits students to work on the kinds of problems that professionals encounter and to use the perspectives, the knowledge, and the skills that professionals use in attempting to solve them.

#### Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is characterized by:

open-ended generative tasks – PBL engages students in an ill-structured, open-ended problem for which there is no prescribed approach or solution. Students become intentional learners as they generate their own questions, plans, and goals.

<u>collaborative decision-making and problem-solving</u> – PBL encourages students to work together in their problem solving and product development. Students collaborate with each other and with more knowledgeable individuals who model expert behaviors and lend assistance as students try out skills on their own.

#### Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is characterized by:

changed role of the instructor -- Instructors act as metacognitive coaches throughout the PBL process. They model and coach, giving students guidance as needed, but encouraging student independence in goal setting and decision-making.

# It represents the way learning occurs in the world outside the classroom.

Some theorists, those who ascribe to situated cognition and activity theory in particular, claim that learning occurs *only* within the context of activity and is securely tied to the situation in which it occurs (e.g. Anderson, Reder, & Simon, 1996; Brown, Collins, & Duguid, 1989; Lave, 1988).

#### It is engaging and, therefore, motivating.

Writing about project-based learning, a term often used interchangeably with problem-based learning, Berliner (1992) notes:

Intertwined with the cognitive components associated with projects are the motivational components inherent in projects. These include the fact that projects teach students to be mastery-oriented, not ability-oriented; they teach students to be learning-oriented rather than performance-oriented; and they teach students to be task-involved rather than ego-involved...When there is some degree of choice for the students, project-based methods motivate students more than any other teaching method I know about. (pp. 10-11)

# It increases the likelihood of transfer, a primary consideration in teacher education.

The literature on transfer suggests that transferable learning experiences occur in an environment characterized by:

- Meaningful activity
- Expert guidance
- Knowledge-building collaboration

### It promotes desirable student outcomes:

- Intentional learning
- Relational understanding
- Critical thinking
- Creative thinking
- Effective collaboration
- Versatile communication

# How Can Problem-Based Learning Be Assessed?

### by using multiple means to measure acquisition of knowledge, skills, and dispositions

To Assess	Product	Method
Knowledge	Concept maps	Expert map-based scheme
Interrelationships among	Unit products	Rubrics
facts, concepts	Written/oral responses	SOLO taxonomy
(Relational understanding)	Traditional tests	Scoring guides
<u>Skills</u>	Unit products and/or	
Critical thinking	performances	Rubrics
Creative thinking	Written/oral responses	SOLO taxonomy
Effective collaboration	Observation	Rubrics
Versatile communication	Self-ratings	Self-reports
	Peer ratings	Likert scales
<b>Dispositions</b>	Problem logs	Content analysis
Intentional learning	Observation	Rubrics

How Do We Use Problem-Based Learning in Teacher Education?

to model PBL as an approach that we ask our students to use in <u>their</u> teaching

# MODEL > COACH > FADE

Cognitive apprenticeship (Collins, Brown, & Newman, 1989) provides the theoretical basis of our approach to using PBL in methods classes.

First, we model the use of PBL by using it.

Next, we **coach** students as they develop their own PBL units to use with *their* students.

Last, with each unit students develop we lend less direct assistance, i.e., we **fade** instruction.

# How Do We Feel About Using PBL in Teacher Education?

### It's different!

- Student driven
- Problems prompt, rather than follow, skill development

### It's hard!

- Developing a "good" problem\*
- Consistent use of guided inquiry
- Giving up control

### It's time-consuming!

- Planning
- Implementing

### It's wonderful!

- High engagement
- Self-directed learning

\* See note.

## References

Anderson, J. R., Reder, L. M., & Simon, H. A. (1996). Situated learning and education. *Educational Researcher*, 25(4), 5-11.

Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (1989). Intentional learning as a goal of instruction. In L.B. Resnick (Ed.), *Knowing, learning, and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser* (pp. 361-392). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Berliner, D. C. (1992). Redesigning classroom activities for the future. *Educational Technology*, 32(5), 7-13.

Brown, J. S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher*, 18(1), 32-42.

Chi, M. T. H., & Glaser, R. (1985). Problem-soving ability. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.) *Human abilities: An information-processing approach* (pp. 227-250). New York: W. H. Freeman & Co.

Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt (CTGV). (1990). Anchored instruction and its relationship to situated cognition. *Educational Researcher*, 19(6), 2-10.

Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Newman, S. (1989). Cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching the craft of reading, writing, and mathematics. In L. Resnick (Ed.), *Knowing, learning and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser* (pp.453-494). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

# References

- Hattie, J., & Purdie, N. (1998). The SOLO model: Addressing fundamental measurement issues. In B. Dart & G. Boulton-Lewis (Eds.), *Teaching and learning in higher education*. Camberwell, Australia: ACER Press.
- Howard, J. (2002). Technology-enhanced project-based learning in teacher education: Addressing the goals of transfer. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, *10*(3), 343-364.
- Lave, J. (1988). Cognition in practice: Mind, mathematics, and culture in everyday life. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Niedelman, M. (1991). Problem solving and transfer. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 24(6), 322-329.
- Rye, J. A., & Rubba, P. A. (2002). Scoring concept maps: An expert map-based scheme weighted for relationships. *School Science & Mathematics*, *102*(1), 33-44.
- Skemp, R. R. (1978). Relational understanding and instrumental understanding. *Arithmetic Teacher*, 26(3), 9-15.
- Stepien, W.J., & Pyke, S.L. (1997). Designing problem-based learning units. *Journal* for the Education of the Gifted, 29(4), 380-400.

# **Related Reading**

- Bereiter, C. (1997). Situated cognition and how to overcome it. In D. Kirshner & J.A. Whitson (Eds.), *Situated cognition: Social, semiotic, and psychological perspectives* (pp. 281-300). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Blumenfeld, P.C., Soloway, E., Marx, R.W., Krajcik, J.S., Guzdial, M., & Palinscar A. (1991). Motivating project-based learning: Sustaining the doing, supporting the learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 26(3 & 4), 369-398.
- Brophy, J., & Alleman, J. (1991). Activities as instructional tools: A framework for analysis and evaluation. *Educational Researcher*, 20(4), 9-23.
- Duch, B. J., Groh, S. E., & Allen, D. E. (2001). *The power of problem-based learning*. Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.
- Gallagher, S.A., Sher, B.T., Stepien, W.J., & Workman, D. (1995). Implementing problem-based learning in science classrooms. *School Science and Mathematics*, 95(3), 136-146.
- Hannafin, M.J., Hall, C., Land, S., & Hill, J. (1994). Learning in open-ended environments: Assumptions, methods, and implications. *Educational Technology*, 34(5), 48-55.
- Hung, D., & Wong, A. (2000). Activity theory as a framework for project work in learning environments. *Educational Technology*, 40(2), 33-37.

Achmad Samsudin, M.Pd.

Jurdik Fisika FPMIPA Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia Nopember 2009