PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Using Performance Assessment Model in Physics Laboratory to Increase Students' Critical Thinking Disposition

To cite this article: E Emiliannur et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 895 012143

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- <u>The Implementation of Problem-Solving</u> Based Laboratory Activities to Teach the Concept of Simple Harmonic Motion in Senior High School R D Iradat and F Alatas
- <u>Assessing student understanding of</u> <u>measurement and uncertainty</u> S Jirungnimitsakul and P Wattanakasiwich
- <u>Digital lock-in amplifier based on</u> <u>soundcard interface for physics laboratory</u> J. Sinlapanuntakul, P. Kijamnajsuk, C. Jetjamnong et al.

Using Performance Assessment Model in Physics Laboratory to **Increase Students' Critical Thinking Disposition**

E Emiliannur^{1*}, I Hamidah², A Zainul³ and A R Wulan⁴

¹Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia ²Departemen Pendidikan Teknik Mesin, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

³Profesor Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Sosial, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

⁴Departemen Pendidikan Biologi, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

*emiliannur_sy@student.upi.edu

Abstract: Performance Assessment Model (PAM) has been developed to represent the physics concepts which able to be devided into five experiments: 1) acceleration due to gravity; 2) Hooke's law; 3) simple harmonic motion; 4) work-energy concepts; and 5) the law of momentum conservation. The aim of this study was to determine the contribution of PAM in physics laboratory to increase students' Critical Thinking Disposition (CTD) at senior high school. Subject of the study were 11th grade consist 32 students of a senior high school in Lubuk Sikaping, West Sumatera. The research used one group pretest-postest design. Data was collected through essay test and questionnaire about CTD. Data was analyzed using quantitative way with N-gain value. This study concluded that performance assessmet model effectively increases the N-gain at medium category. It means students' critical thinking disposition significant increase after implementation of performance assessment model in physics laboratory.

1. Introduction

While a great deal of research has examined students' critical thinking skills, less is known about students' tendencies to use these skills [1]. Critical thinking has always been a central goal of education, but having critical thinking skills does not necessary meant that the person will use these skills even when the situation requires the application of such skills [2]. Critical thinking disposition is also believed to be essential for students, primarily to ensure they use critical thinking skills in the classroom and later when they enter the workforce, because people must also be disposed to use what they have learned [3, 4]. Some researchers extend the definition of critical thinking include both abilities and dispositions [5, 6]. Critical thinking dispositions define as the tendencies to think critically when faced with problems to solve, ideas to evaluate, or decisions to make [7, 8].

Educators need to measure critical thinking dispositions, it will help to decide on the appropriate intervention to implement. There are instruments to measure critical thinking dispositions such as: 1) California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory/CCTDI by Facione; 2) Critical Thinking Disposition

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

Questionnaire/CTDQ by Ricketts; and 3) Critical Thinking Disposition Scale/CTDS by Akbiyik. On the other hand, pre-service physics teachers' critical thinking disposition can improved through virtual lab modern physics model [9]. Based on the results of analysis journals, there is no instrument used to measure the critical thinking disposition in physics subject at the senior high school level. Field study shows the assessment used in senior high school is more oriented towards the end result, not the process. The facts reflected in local and national assessments using traditional assessment tools such as paper and pencil test that have not been able to measure students' higher order thinking. Assessment expert Lorrie Shepard and others have found that, when educators teach directly to the content and format of specific high-stakes tests, students are frequently unable to transfer their knowledge to items that test it in different ways [10]. Furthermore, students' ability to answer multiple-choice questions does not mean they have the ability to answer the same questions in open-ended form. Indeed, their scores often drop precipitously when answers are not provided for them, and they do not have the option to guess. Thus, a focus on multiple-choice testing gives false assurances about what students know and are able to do [11]. This is why a growing number of educators and policymakers have argued that new assessments are needed.

The increase in popularity of performance assessments during the late 1980s and 1990s came about in part because of dissatisfaction with traditional, multiple-choice tests [12]. In a performance assessment, rather than choosing among pre-determined options, students must construct an answer, produce a product, or perform an activity. Performance assessment encompasses a very wide range of activities, from completing a sentence with a few words (short-answer), to writing a thorough analysis (essay), to conducting and analyzing a laboratory investigation (hands-on) [13]. Because they allow students to construct or perform an original response rather than just recognizing a potentially right answer out of a list provided, performance assessments can measure students' cognitive thinking and reasoning skills and their ability to apply knowledge to solve realistic, meaningful problems. Literature survey on the assessment of critical thinking dispositions and habits of mind indicates that critical thinking dispositions has been assessed using approaches such as direct observation, rating scores, essays [14, 15]. Some of these methods are used in combination. Therefore, this study was planned to determine the contribution of performance assessment model in Physics Laboratory to increase students' Critical Thinking Disposition (CTD) at senior high school.

2. Experimental Method

This study was conducted on a senior high school in Lubuk Sikaping, West Sumatera, during first semester of 2016-2017 academic years. Participants of the study were 11th grade consist of 32 students. Sampling was carried out by purposive sampling. The research used one group pretest-postest design.

The instrument in this study was developed from seven subscales of CTD by Facione [15]. Data was collected through essay test and questionnaire as a part of PAM. Each instrument in this study was integrated physics practicum material. PAM has been developed to represent the physics concepts which able to be devided into five experiments: 1) acceleration due to gravity; 2) Hooke's law; 3) simple harmonic motion; 4) work-energy concepts; 5) the law of momentum conservation. CTD essay test and rubric consists of 18 item. Subscale of CTD essay test are inquisitiveness (3 items), open-mindedness (3 items), systematicity (3 items), truth-seeking (3 items), analyticity (2 items), self-confidence (2 items), maturity (2 items). The reliability of CTD essay test is 0.802. CTD questionnaire consists of 50 item Likert type response. Subscale of CTD questionnaire are inquisitiveness (9 items), open-mindedness (8 items), systematicity (7 items), truth-seeking (9 items), analyticity (6 items), self-confidence (6 items), maturity (5 items). The reliability of CTD questionnaire is 0.836. Data was analyze using quantitative way with N-gain value. In this study only used one class by comparing the N-gain value between pretest and posttest.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Pretest, posttest and n-gain analysis of CTD essay test

Mean score pretest, posttest, and gain of essay test were analyzed descriptively. There is an increase in students' critical thinking disposition score from 56.59 to 84.11. Based on the calculation of the mean value $\langle g \rangle$ is 0.63 which is categorized as medium [16].

Table 1. Mean scores of essay test for each subscales of the critical thinking disposition

Subscales	Pretest	Posttest	N-gain (%)
Inquisitiveness	46.87	74.47	53.23
Open-mindedness	55.20	85.41	65.10
Systematicity	61.97	88.02	66.40
Truth-seeking	65.10	86.45	66.14
Analyticity	48.43	88.28	77.60
Self-confident	64.84	87.50	56.77
Maturity	52.34	79.68	44.79

Self-confident	64.84	87.50	56.77						
Maturity	52.34	79.68	44.79						
Table 2. Normally on pretest and posttest score of essay									
Table 2. Normally	on pretest	and posttes	t score of essay						

	Kolmo	gorov-Sı	nirnov ^a	Sh	lk	
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
pretest	0.109	32	$.200^{*}$	0.967	32	0.422
posttest	0.104	32	$.200^{*}$	0.976	32	0.681

Table 3. Independent sample test of essay

		Levene for Equa Varia			t-test for Equality of Means					
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differ ence	Std. Error Differ ence	95% Co Interva Diffe Lower	nfidence l of the rence Upper
	Equal variances assumed	1.538	.220	-14.01	62	.000	-27.5	1.96	-31.44	-23.59
prete st_po sttest	Equal variances not assumed			-14.1	57. 958	.000	-27.5	1.96	-31.44	-23.59

Table 1 shows students' pretest, posttest, and gain score of essay test for each subscale of critical thinking disposition. As sees from Table 1, all the critical thinking disposition indicators have increased, marked a positive value on each % N-gain. The highest pretest main score was found on the truth-seeking indicator (65.1) and the lowest on the inquisiveness indicator (46.87). The highest mean posttest was

found on the analyticity indicator (88.28) and the lowest on the inquisiveness indicator (74.48). The largest % < g> is obtained on the analytical indicator (77.6) and the lowest on the maturity indicator (44.79).

Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk test on whether the data is distributed normally or not were applied. As sees from Table 2, the score distribution of essay pretest dan posttest displays a normal distribution (sig.>0.05). The result one-way analysis of variance on the significance difference between pretest and posttest essay test mean score are given in Table 3. The equality of the variances was checked through Levene F test and variance were found out to be homogeneous (sig.>0.05). As seen from Table 3, the different between mean score of pretest and posttest is significant (sig.(2-tailed)<0.05).

3.2. Pretest, posttest and n-gain analysis of CTD questionnaire

Mean score students' pretest, posttest, and gain of questionnaire were analyzed descriptively. There is an increase in students' critical thinking disposition score from 76,82 to 84.8. Based on the calculation of the mean value $\langle g \rangle$ is 0.316 which is categorized as medium.

Table 4 shows students' pretest, posttest, and gain score of questionnaire for each subscale of critical thinking disposition. As sees from Table 4, all the critical thinking disposition indicators have increased, marked a positive value on each % N-gain. The highest pretest main score was found on the maturity indicator (77.03) and the lowest on the open-mindedness indicator (67.48). The highest mean posttest was found on the maturity indicator (92.66) and the lowest on the analiticity indicator (77.60). The highest % <g> is obtained on the maturity indicator (64.60) and the lowest on the analiticity indicator (25.53).

Subscales	Pretest	Posttest	N-gain (%)
Inquisitiveness	76.82	84.81	31.61
Open-mindedness	67.48	80.57	36.52
Truth-seeking	72.40	80.47	25.62
Systematicity	75.11	85.04	36.55
Analyticity	68.23	77.60	25.53
Self-confident	72.66	83.20	32.52
Maturity	77.03	92.66	64.60

Table 4. Mean scores of questionnaire for each subscales of the critical thinking disposition

Table 5. Normally	on v	pretest and	posttest sco	ore of o	questionnaire
-------------------	------	-------------	--------------	----------	---------------

	Kolmo	gorov-Smi	irnov ^a	Sh	lk	
	Statistic	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.	
pretest	0,128	32	.200*	0.967	32	0.422
posttest	0,131	32	$.200^{*}$	0.976	32	0.681

As sees from Table 5, the score distribution of questionnaire pretest dan posttest displays a normal distribution (sig.>0.05). The result one-way analysis of variance on the significance difference between pretest and posttest questionnaire mean score are given in Table 6. The equality of the variances was checked through Levene F test and variance were found out to be homogeneous (sig.>0.05). As seen from Table 6, the different between mean score of pretest and posttest is significant (sig.(2-tailed)<0.05).

		Levene' for Equa Varia	s Test llity of nces			t-test for Equality of Means				
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differ ence	Std. Error Diffe	95% Co Interva Diffe	nfidence l of the rence
								rence	Lower	Upper
	Equal variances assumed	0.957	0.33	-8.959	62	.000	-20.1	2.24	-24.61	-15.63
prete st_po	Equal variances not			0.050	60.9	000	20.1	2.24		15.60
sttest	assumed			-8.959	83	.000	-20.1	2.24	-24.61	-15.63

Table 6. Independent sample test of questionnaire

4. Conclusion

Performance assessment refers to variety of task and situations in which students are given opportunities to demonstrate their understanding and to thoughfully apply knowledge, skill, and habits of mind in a variety of contexts [13]. One reason that performance assessments embedded in classroom instruction may help support stronger learning for students is that they ensure that students are undertaking intellectually challenging tasks [12]. In this study, we can conclude that implementation a performance assessment model in physics subject effectively increases students' critical thinking disposition. It means students' critical thinking disposition increases because of variety and challenging task of performance assessment.

In the literature, we didn't encounter a study about assessment performance model effect upon individual's critical thinking disposition. But in experimental studies, it can be seen that individuals' critical thinking disposition increased with different application [17-20]. This research is limited to physics subject in senior high school level. Similar studies should be conducted in different subject such as biology and chemistry, and different workgroup.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Drs. Riska Khaira, M. Si as head master SMA N 1 Lubuk Sikaping, Erna Dewita, M. Si and Elfajridel, S. Pd as physics teacher who has provided many inputs and improvements as long as my research. Big thanks also for all participants who helps this research.

References

- [1] Stupnisky R H, Renaud R D, Daniels L M, Haynes T L and Perry R P 2008 The Interrelation of First Year College Students' Critical Thinking Disposition, Perceived Academic Control, and Academic Achievement Springer Science+Bunsiness Media. Res High Educ 49 513–530
- [2] Connie S L 2006 Approaches to Evaluate Critical Thinking Dispositions APERA Conference 2006
- [3] Pithers R T and Soden R 2000 Critical thinking in education: A review *Educational Research* **42** (3) 237–24
- [4] Facione P A, Facione N C and Giancarlo C A 2000 The disposition toward critical thinking: Its character, measurement, and relationship to critical thinking skill *Informal Logic* **20** (1) 61–84

- [5] Ennis R H 1987 A taxonomy of critical thinking dispositions and abilities In Joan Baron & Robert Sternberg (Eds.) *Teaching thinking skills: Theory and practice* (New York: W.H. Freeman) pp. 9-26
- [6] Facione P A 1990 Critical Thinking: A Statement of Expert Consensus for Purposes of Educational Assessment and Instruction. Executive Summary "The Delphi Report," The California Academic Press [Online]. Available at: <u>http://www.insightassessment.com/dex.html</u>. Retrieved May 13, 20013 from source.
- [7] Ennis R H 1989 Critical thinking and subject specificity: clarification and needed research *Educational Researcher* **13** 13-16
- [8] Facione, P. A., Facione, N. C., & Giancarlo, C. A. (1997). The motivation to think in working and learning. In E. A. Jones (Ed.), *Preparing competent college graduates: Setting new and higher expectations for student learning—New directions for higher education* (Vol. 96, pp. 67-79). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- [9] Gunawan. (2011). Developing Virtual Lab Physics Model to Increases Pre-Service Physics Teachers' Generic Skill and Critical thinking Disposition. *Dissertation*. UPI. Unpublished.
- [10] Shepard L A 2008 Commentary on the National Mathematics Advisory Panel recommendations on assessment *Educational Researcher* 37 (9) 602-609
- [11] Kahl S 2008 The Assessment of 21st Century Skills: Something Old, Something New, Something Borrowed. Paper presented at the *Council of Chief State School Officers 38th National Conference on Student Assessment* (Orlando, FL)
- [12] Darling H L and Adamson F 2010 Beyond basic skills: The role of performance assessment in achieving 21st century standards of learning (Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education)
- [13] Marzano R J, Pickering D, and McTighe J 1993 Assessing student outcomes: Performance assessment using the Dimensions of Learning Model (Alexandria, VA: ASCD)
- [14] Norris S P 1992 Testing for the disposition to think critically Informal Logic 2 157-164
- [15] Facione P A, Facione N C and Giancarlo C A 1995 The Disposition Toward Critical Thinking Journal of General Education 44 (1) 1-25
- [16] Hake, R.R. (1999). Reconstructing Theory from Practical Experience. In Woolnough, B.E. (ed). *Practical Science*, 66-77. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- [17] Evren A, Bati K, and Yilmaz S 2012 The Effect of Using V-Diagram in Science and Technology Laboratory Teaching on Preservice Teacher's Critical Thinking Disposition Procedia – Sosial and Behaviorial Sciences 46 2267-2272
- [18] Yenice N 2011 Investigating Pre-service Science Teachers' Critical Thinking Dispositions and Problem Solving Skills in Terms of Different Variables Educational Research and Reviews 6 (6) 497-508
- [19] Neyestani M R, Heydari M H and Ghamarani A 2012 Relationship between Critical Thinking Dispositions and Dialogue-based Education among teachers *Journal of American Science* **8** (8)
- [20] Karami M, Pakmehr H and Aghili A 2012 Another View to Importance of Teaching Methods in Curriculum: Collaborative Learning and Students' Critical Thinking Disposition. Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences 46 3266 – 3270.